

COUNCIL OF CHIEF LIBRARIANS



CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES

BOARD MEETING October 08, 2010

BURBANK, CA

PRESENT: Johanna Bowen, Luozhu Cen, Dan Crump, Tim Karas, John Koetzner, Jim Matthews, Marie Mestas, Kenley Neufeld, Sarah Raley, Choonhee Rhim, Taylor Ruhl, Glorian Sipman, John Weispfenning

ABSENT: Gregg Atkins, Susan Walsh

I. Welcome and Procedural Issues

- A. The agenda was adopted as presented.
- B. The minutes of the July 16, 2010 were approved as written.
- C. Calendar topic Deans and Directors March 17-18. Agreed to follow pattern from previous year. CCL Board meeting morning of the 17th. State wide meeting afternoon of the 17th and morning of the 18th. CCL will cover one night (presumably the 17th for every attendee) CCL Board have rooms reserved for 16th to accommodate the early morning meeting time on the 17th.
- D. Budget adopted. Jim proposed a bonus for Sarah Raley of \$5,000.00. Approved.

II. Discussion Items

A. Char Booth joined the board to discuss a project proposal to have her create a pilot for a survey of Community College students to gauge the following:

- Need for and justifications for current technology
- Focus on technical aptitude and needs of our students
- Add value to preparations for Accreditation activities
- Find out what students think they need
- Applicable across the state at every ccc

There were a number of oddities discussed that need to be worked out: -- physical distribution problems -- lack of consistency with respect to email contact with student body at ccc's -- looking for existing sampling methods present on every campus through the respective Research Offices --

Char requested the CCL Board to truncate our focus, limit our self perceptions, ask students to inform us about themselves with respect to tech skills and perception about tech tools available to students. Essential to

capture where students are at the time of the survey vis-à-vis library's role on campus.

Other areas possible to include:

- Efficacy of / usefulness of digital offerings to students.
- Library use vis a vis institutional use
- Technology's support for classes
- Establish the library's role in meta-cognitive (self directed) learning
- Acknowledge and explore student's personal learning networks (find out where they do go first for school related learning.
- Can we model our services to meet their information learning habits

Or,

- Can we direct them to better methods / evaluative skills

Identified 4 core areas for survey:

- Tech use
- Library use
- Learning style
- Information gathering style

Narrowed to a perception of our core interest:

- Student use of technology
- Student use of library and the intersection point of these two

Char encouraged Board to examine her previous toolkits.

Established a timeline with a preliminary presentation to the Board

- **Jan 27th meeting (Char cannot join us on this date) Board voted to move the meeting to Feb 3rd in Oakland**
- **Note: next Board meeting date in OAKLAND Feb 3rd**

- Small number of colleges identified and survey tested in late Feb/March
- Preliminary view at Deans and Directors
- Roll out state wide for Fall

Board discussed running all this by one or more Institutional Researcher.

CCL Board established a small working group for survey design with Tim Karas as Chair and Kenley, John Koetzner, and Choonhee as members with Jim and Gregg listening in.

[Subsequent to the October 8th meeting Char Booth shared her own working notes with the Board. Available at: <http://goo.gl/QQRBM>

B. Deans & Director's Meeting March 17-18 Planning Discussion

1. Agreed to follow pattern of speakers on current topics paired with CCCCCO speakers.
2. Kenley already preparing two talks for April (?) offered to present one of them at D & D -- Innovation, Risk & Failure, and Social Media for Libraries
3. Char will talk about survey progress to date in March
4. Gregg will make preliminary contact with Joe Murphy Yale Science Librarian – topic Mobile applications in the future (from Internet Librarian)
5. Scott Lay
6. Jack Scott
7. Patrick
8. Someone on Information Competency requirements for Graduation and / or as transfer requirement.
9. Suggestion of getting Roy Tennant as luncheon Keynote on Friday. OCLC Vision thing/ Technology guru

C. Dan Crump led discussion on SB 1440 – transfer degree guaranteed admission for CSU general prep plus 18 units. Dan noted that the law expressly forbade any other local requirement so it may bump into our decades long effort to require Info Comp for graduation at CCC’s This is a “heads up” issue.

Dan Crump will write a wrap-up on SB 1440 for November Outlook

D. ArtStor FTE issue. Price hike because they will not accept our FTE number. Jean Smith has written a letter which Glorian will re-write and Jim as president will sign it.

Glorian will share the draft with the Board

D. Statewide Worldcat still does not have all the CCC’s

Sarah will seek a price for CCC inclusion in OCLC Worldcat or, a consortium price lower than the prices quoted for each library individually.

E. Spring Workshops will be in late April

F. EAR Committee report.

Need new chair of EAR by June (choose in Spring and invite to Retreat)

EAR committee was asked to review databases and make suggestions for possible State Wide buy. For this purpose Glorian added Norman Bucholz and Jean Smith as ad hoc summer members. They decided to view the charge in three teams.

1. Small periodical databases.
2. Large periodical databases
3. Newspapers

Board priority for STATEWIDE buy is as follows:

Journal database

Newspapers

Encyclopedia (maybe CQ Researcher or Opposing Viewpoints???)

G. Sarah Raley Report

Sarah Raley was asked to find out what databases were common buys for every CSU and for every UC

1. Fall renewals are proceeding
2. NetLibrary 10th collection is fixed. Three publishers demanded that the collection purchase 2 copies. The rest of the publishers are as before with one copy in the collection.
3. Lisa Moske left CSU SEAR. CCC consortium has only one joint buy left with CSU – APA Psych Info
4. CountryWatch. CCL ready to pony up. League has not yet agreed. Johanna hopes it will be decided by the mid/end of November for inclusion in last Outlook of the Fall semester.

5. Maricopa District wants to join our consortium but some Vendors will not extend the CCC discount to Maricopa due to pre-existing agreements.

6. Functionality of cclibraries listserv has been upgraded. Listserv can be set to reply to list now. Gregg and Sarah will arrange with Mary Ann to move the listserv to cclibraries this year some time.

H. Jim Mathews report

1. Membership renewals went out 2 weeks ago
2. We are not a 503C -- We are a 503 L and thus cannot accept cash donations that are tax deductible to the donor
3. We have signed a revised MOU for an additional \$150,000 from TRIS
4. Jim will explain what Jack Pond told him about how librarians really get on Accreditation teams in the next Outlook presidents letter
5. CIO's and TTAC will have conflicting same day meetings. Jim will go to TTAC. Dan Crump will represent Jim at CIO's
6. TTAC working on Tech IV
7. Need librarians appointed to TTAC from Academic Senate

Dan Crump will write a HEADS UP for April Outlook sending librarians to the appointment forms for Academic Senate appointments.

7. SF East Bay needs a Representative. Jim is covering the region for now.

I. Johanna Bowen Outlook and Website report. Some problems with authorizations for Directory site editors. May need help from original contractors. As ever, writing was solicited. John will write up his COHA experiences. Sarah will write about 508 compliance.

J. Tim Karas ETAC report. DE satisfaction survey has been dropped. ETAC may become a sub group to TTAC or SAC